Contribution ID: e322a5be-6d26-445e-b77d-f9fa210e05bc

Date: 10/09/2020 11:02:50



# Public Consultation for the FuelEU Maritime – Green Maritime Space Initiative

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

#### Introduction

The <u>European Green Deal communication</u> published by the European Commission in December 2019, emphasised the need to accelerate the transition to a low-emission and climate-neutral economy, including through the shift to sustainable mobility. The Commission has announced a basket of measures as part of this transition, to be proposed in the course of 2020 and 2021.

As part of this, the Commission plans to adopt in 2020 the comprehensive "Strategy on Sustainable and Smart Mobility", deliveringing on ambitious sustainability and modernisation objectives, while ensuring the transport sector recovers from the COVID-19 crisis. The Strategy will set out the key areas and initiatives in transport and mobility where the Commission will consider policy actions to be taken in the coming years and beyond.

The 'FuelEU Maritime – Green European Maritime Space' initiative was announced as a legislative initiative in the context of the <a href="2020 Commission Work Programme">2020 Commission Work Programme</a>. It will be an important element of the Strategy on sustainable and smart mobility, focusing on ramping-up the production, deployment and uptake of sustainable alternative marine fuels, ensuring technological neutrality (low and zero-emissions sustainable alternative fuels and power, including but not limited to: liquid biofuels, e-liquids, decarbonised gas (including bio-LNG and e-gas), decarbonised hydrogen and decarbonised hydrogen-derived fuels (including methane, and ammonia) and electricity), regulating access of the most polluting ships to EU ports and obliging docked ships to drastically reduce their emissions, including through using shore-side electricity.

By creating a clear pathway for the demand of sustainable alternative fuels (low and zero-emissions sustainable alternative fuels and power) in maritime transport, the 'FuelEU Maritime – Green European Maritime Space' initiative aims to accelerate the achievement of low-emission, climate-neutral shipping and

ports by promoting the uptake of sustainable alternative energy and powertrain systems. This initiative is a first concrete step to bring the maritime sector in line with the European target of reaching climate-neutrality by 2050. It does not address issues related to the energy system and infrastructure, taxation, state aid or the EU Emissions Trading System, which will be subject to specific proposals and policy actions.

This initiative continues the approach already promoted by the 2016 <u>Low Emission Mobility Strategy</u>, with a clear pathway for the maritime sector to contribute to the EU's objectives to reach climate neutrality by 2050 outlined in the <u>European Green Deal</u>, the <u>Commission's long-term vision for a prosperous, modern, competitive and climate-neutral economy by 2050</u> and the proposal for a Climate Law, as well as the <u>strategic orientations of Horizon Europe</u>. It is also in line with the global Strategy for the reduction of GHG emissions from ships by the <u>International Maritime Organization</u>, which includes candidate measures and recommendations to support the development and uptake of low- and zero-carbon alternative fuels.

This public consultation invites citizens and organisations to contribute to the assessment of how to accelerate the uptake of sustainable alternative energy and power by the shipping sector. The consultation will be open for a period of 10 weeks instead of the standard 12 weeks. The consultation period has been shortened given the importance of the measure including in the recovery from the crisis. A targeted consultation with various stakeholders will complement the public consultation.

Please note that it is not mandatory to reply to all questions.

#### About you

Lithuanian

nguage of my contribution	
Bulgarian	
Croatian	
Czech	
Danish	
Dutch	
English	
Estonian	
Finnish	
French	
© Gaelic	
German	
Greek	
Hungarian	
© Italian	
Latvian	

Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak
Slovenian
Spanish
Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* First name
Susanna
*Surname
PFLÜGER
*Email (this won't be published)
pfluger@european-biogas.eu
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
European Biogas Association (EBA)

Maltese

# \*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

# Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

18191445640-83

				$\sim$ .
ทเห	ไป	ori	ΩŤ	Country
	M	ori	OΤ	Country

Please add your country of origin, or	that of your organisation.		
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre
			and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
	•		Grenadines
Algeria	© Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa	Едурі	Macau	San Manno
	Cl Cabradan	NA - d	○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
	Guinea		
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall	Singapore
		Islands	
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia

Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	Moldova	<ul><li>South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands</li></ul>
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar /Burma	Svalbard and Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
<ul><li>Bonaire Saint</li><li>Eustatius and</li><li>Saba</li></ul>	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
<ul><li>British Indian</li><li>Ocean Territory</li></ul>	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
<ul><li>British Virgin</li><li>Islands</li></ul>	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	<ul><li>Heard Island and McDonald Islands</li></ul>	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau

Buru	ındi	Hong Kong	0	Northern Mariana Islands	0	Tonga
© Cam	bodia	Hungary	0	North Korea	0	Trinidad and
© Cam	eroon	celand	0	North Macedonia	0	Tobago Tunisia
© Cana	ada	ndia India	0	Norway	0	Turkey
Cape	e Verde	Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
Cayr	man Islands	<sup>©</sup> Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and Caicos Islands
Cent	tral African ublic	Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
Cha	d	Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
Chile	Э (	sle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
Chin	a	Israel		Papua New		United Arab
				Guinea		Emirates
Chris	stmas	<sup>©</sup> Italy		Paraguay		United
Islan	nd					Kingdom
Clipp	perton	Damaica		Peru		United States
Coco	os (Keeling) nds	Japan	0	Philippines	©	United States Minor Outlying Islands
Colo	mbia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
Com	oros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
Con	go	Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
Cool	k Islands	<sup>©</sup> Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
Cost	a Rica	Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
Côte	d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela
Croa	atia (	Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam
Cuba	a	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
Cura	ıçao	Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara

© (	Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
© (	Czechia	Lebanon	0	Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha	0	Zambia
F	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and Nevis	©	Zimbabwe
© [	Denmark	Liberia	0	Saint Lucia		
* Public	ation privacy sett	ings				
	mmission will publish the res	sponses to this public consultation	ı. Yol	ı can choose whether you w	ould l	ike your details to be made
	Anonymous					
(	Only your type of	respondent, country	of	origin and contrib	utic	n will be
ŗ	oublished. All othe	er personal details (n	am	e, organisation n	am	e and size,
t	ransparency regi	ster number) will not	be	published.		
•	Public					
	•	ails (name, organisa				
r	register number, o	country of origin) will	be	published with yo	our	contribution.
<b></b> lag	gree with the pers	onal data protection	pro	ovisions		
*Please	e specify which ir	iterests you (the orga	anis	sation on behalf o	f w	hich you
	nd) represent	, , ,				·
	National public au	ıthorities (transport n	nini	stries, agencies)		
	Regional or local	public authorities				
	Ship owning and	ship management				
	Short sea shippin	g				
	orts manageme	nt and administration	S			
	Port terminal oper	ator or other port se	rvic	es provider		
	nland waterways	sector				
	Shipbuilding and	marine equipment m	anı	ıfacturers		
	Academia, resear	ch and innovation				
	nvestment and fir	nancing				

<ul> <li>Energy producers and fuel supply (including alternative / sustainable fuel sources)</li> <li>Technical standardization bodies and class societies</li> <li>Logistics suppliers, shippers and cargo owners</li> <li>Interest organisations representing societal interests, particularly on environmental and social topics</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
General assessment and policy context
1. Various studies have described key options for decarbonising the maritime sector. These include the potential of energy efficiency improvements (i.e. less fuel consumed per a given volume of transported cargo or passengers) and the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels (i.e. use of low- and zero-carbon fuels).
In your view, how relevant is the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels and diversifying the fuel mix of maritime transport in order to accelerate the decarbonisation of shipping?  Output O
2. While energy efficiency improvements have occurred over the past decade in shipping, the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels or propulsion technologies remained negligible.
What are your expectations concerning the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels in maritime transport in the nearest future, i.e. by 2025 (under the existing regulatory framework)?  It will increase significantly  It will increase moderately  It will remain the same

It will decrease

- No opinion
- 3. The EU has already set up a regulatory framework for the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure for maritime transport. The framework includes provisions for equipment of the necessary infrastructure in ports on the <a href="Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T)">Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T)</a> in particular through the provisions of the Directive on the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure (<a href="Directive 2014/94/EU">Directive 2014/94/EU</a>). Yet the existing regulatory scope is limited to the supply of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) and on-shore power supply (non-mandatory) and does not contain provisions related to their use in operations.

In your view, how relevant is it to complement the existing regulatory framework with policy measures focusing on the demand side (i.e. addressing the use of sustainable alternative fuels by operators) in order to achieve a better deployment or further uptake of such fuels?

- Very relevant
- Relevant
- Somewhat relevant
- Less relevant
- Not relevant
- No opinion
- 4. The development and deployment of sustainable alternative fuels requires coordination among different economic actors (e.g. operators, fuel suppliers, ports, technology providers, etc.). It also requires a consistent approach to ensure availability of the fuel and guarantee the well-functioning of the internal market.

The Green Deal foresees that EU action needs to be coordinated with action at global level, in particular the International Maritime Organization (IMO). However, what would be, in your opinion, the governance level best suited to address these objectives in the European Union?

- The objectives would be best adressed at the EU level
- The objectives would be best addressed by Member States individually
- The objectives would be best addressed at regional level
- The objectives would be best addressed by individual stakeholders with no public intervention
- No opinion

5. The <u>European Green Deal</u> as well as the proposal for a European Climate Law set the objective of achieving climate neutrality by 2050 and the maritime transport sector should contribute to this decarbonisation effort. This means that most of the fuel consumed by EU maritime transport will have to be low- or zero-carbon at the latest by 2050.

In your view, how likely is it that — without specific policy intervention - a significant uptake of such low or zero-carbon fuels will take place in the following periods?

Please rate the options listed in the table below from 5 (very likely) to 1 (very unlikely). Not all options need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

#### A signficant use of sustainable alternative fuels to occur:

	1	2	3	4	5
Before 2030	•	0	0	0	0
Between 2030 and 2040	0	•	0	0	0
After 2040	0	0	•	0	0

6. The 'FuelEU Maritime' initiative focuses mainly on the decarbonisation of the maritime sector. In your view, how relevant is it to complement this initiative with policy measures dedicated to inland navigation (provision of on-shore power supply, uptake of new sustainable alternative fuels for inland waterway vessels in operation, etc.)?

Very	rel	leva	nt
• • •			

- Relevant
- Somewhat relevant
- Less relevant
- Not relevant
- No opinion

If very relevant, relevant or somewhat relevant, please specify:

400 character(s) maximum

A variety of inland navigation alternative fuels are available, with different characteristics adapted to different usages, including among others, compressed biogas to small to intermediate vessels and bioLNG for larger vessels.

Barriers to the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels in maritime transport

7. According to the data on emissions from maritime transport collected under the <u>EU Monitoring</u>, Reporting and Verification (MRV) Regulation, the vast majority of the 44 million tonnes of fuel consumed in 2018 concerned conventional fossil fuels such as heavy fuel oil, gas oil, diesel oil, etc. Despite the existing framework for supporting corresponding infrastructure development, sustainable alternative fuels were only a small fraction of the fuels consumed by the monitored fleet. How would you explain this situation?

Please rate the potential barriers listed in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all options need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

#### Reasons for the low uptake of sustainable alternative fuels

	1	2	3	4	5
Lack of predictability of the regulatory framework	0	0	0	0	•
High risk of investment in vessels technology and port infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of mature technologies (e.g. on ships and on shore)	•	0	0	0	0
Higher price of sustainable alternative fuels	0	0	0	0	•
Lack of communication between actors and lack of transparency on the environmental performance,incl.of the fuel performance	0	0	0	•	0
Insufficient supply (fuel production and infrastructure) of sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply	0	0	0	•	0
Insufficient demand for sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply	0	0	0	•	0
Bunkering (i.e. fuel supply) of ships outside the EU	•	0	0	0	0
Presence of split incentives in the sector (i.e. situations where the benefits of an investment do not entirely accrue to the investor.  Example: a ship owner that is not also the ship manager may have less incentive to invest in green technologies)	0	0	0	•	0
Other reasons, please specify	0	0	0	0	•

### If "other reasons", please specify:

400 character(s) maximum

The use of alternative fuels is better supported in other transport sectors.

There is no economic incentive for shipowners and shipbuilders to switch to alternative fuels and there is a risk of competition with non-European players that may have less environmental constraints.

8. In your opinion, which of the identified barriers should be addressed as a matter of priority at EU level?

Please rate the items in the table below from 10 (highest priority) to 1 (lowest priority). Not all options need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

#### Reasons for the low uptake of sustainable alternative fuels

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Lack of predictability of the regulatory framework	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
High risk of investment in vessels technology and port infrastructure	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of mature technologies (e.g. on ships and on shore)	0	•	©	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Higher price of sustainable alternative fuels	0	©	©	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of communication between actors and lack of transparency on the environmental performance, incl. of the fuel performance	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient supply (fuel production and infrastructure) of sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
Insufficient demand for sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Bunkering (i.e. fuel supply) of ships outside the EU	•	©	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Presence of split incentives in the sector (i.e. situations where the benefits of an investment do not entirely accrue to the investor.  Example: a ship owner that is not also the ship manager may have less incentive to invest in green technologies)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Other reasons, please specify	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# If "other reasons", please specify:

400 character(s) maximum

Need to clarify the regulatory framework regarding life-cycle analysis, definition of mass-balancing for bioLNG supply chain, unclear contribution to specific emission reduction targets.

9. From you	ur experience, can you give an example of a successful introduction of
sustainable	alternative fuel or power supply in maritime transport?
Yes	
<sup>©</sup> No	
If 'Yes', can	you identify the main reasons that proved to be essential to succeed?
Can you ide	entify the main challenges to overcome?
1500 characte	er(s) maximum

There is an increasing number of examples of using bio-LNG as a maritime fuel such as within the Nordic countries, and the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany. As investments in new ships and new engines are for a long term, it is essential to have the LNG infrastructure and vessels in place to allow for the future increase of as well as enough bio-LNG production.

One best practice example is the infrastructure bunkering solutions of Pit Point (both inland Germany as well as bunkering LNG vessels in the Port of Rotterdam) as this infrastructure will allow for Bio-LNG supply in future.

Another example is the Bio2Bunker project funded by the EU's Connecting Europe Facility (CEF).

- 10. From your experience, can you give an example of a failed attempt to introduce sustainable alternative fuel or power supply in maritime transport?
  - Yes
  - O No

If 'Yes', can you identify the main reasons for the failure? Can you share any lessons learned from that experience?

1500 character(s) maximum

The introduction of a sustainable alternative fuel like bio-LNG could fail if the EU does not recognise the importance of having fossil LNG as a transition fuel, paving the way for its renewable counterpart. The introduction would likewise fail if the EU would come up again with technology-specific initiatives, as in the road transport, taking the tailpipe approach which favours solely electricity.

### Possible policy options

11. The table below presents possibly policy measures – both regulatory and non-regulatory – which could be taken at the EU level to address the barriers to the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels and power in the maritime sector.

Please rate them in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all policy measures need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

Policy measures to accelerate the uptake of sustainable fuels

	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Accelerate research and innovation enabling the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power (demonstration and deployment)	0	0	0	0	•
Set a clear regulatory pathway for decarbonising the current marine fuel mix	0	0	0	0	•
Increase public funding and incentivise private invesment to overcome the high investment risk in vessels powered by sustainable alternative fuels or propulsion systmes	0	0	0	•	0
Increase public funding and financial support to overcome the high investment risk in sustainable alternative fuel supply or on-shore power supply infrastructure	0	0	0	•	0
Establish economic incentives to reduce the price differential between conventional and sustainable alternative fuels	©	0	0	0	•
Define objectives for the supply of sustainable alternative fuels and power to the maritime sector	0	0	0	0	•
Define objectives and provisions for the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power in the maritime sector	0	0	0	0	•
Develop standards related to sustainable alternative fuels (incl. fuels, machinery, infrastructure, etc.)	0	0	0	0	•
Increase transparency by establishing a certification mechanism for sustainable alternative fuels	0	0	0	0	•
Other measures (please specify)	0	0	0	0	•

# If "other measures", please specify:

400 character(s) maximum

Requirements on the share of specific sustainable alternative fuels to be used in ships fuel mix. First the requirements could be set for the use of biofuels (ready to be used immediately) and later they could be extended to include other solutions such as electricity, hydrogen and synthetic fuels.

# 12. How should requirements for the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power be set in your view?

- a. For ships in navigation:
  - Requirements on the share of specific sustainable alternative fuels to be used in ships fuel mix
  - Performance requirements based on the carbon-intensity of energy used in marine operations
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion

In that case, please specify for which sustainable alternative fuels these requirements should be set

400 character(s) maximum

First on those available today: bio-LNG, bio-CNG, bioliquids

Later: renewable hydrogen, renewable electricity and synthetic fuels

- b. For ships at berth:
  - Requirements on the share of specific sustainable alternative fuels to be used in ship's fuel mix while at berth (incl. use of on-shore power)
  - Performance requirements based on the carbon-intensity of energy used by ships at berth
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion

In that case, please specify to which sustainable alternative fuels these requirements should be set:

400 character(s) maximum

First on those available today: bio-LNG, bio-CNG, bioliquids

Later: renewable hydrogen, renewable electricity and synthetic fuels

- 13. In case new requirements on the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power are proposed, to whom should these apply in your view?
- a. Types of ships:
  - To all ships
  - To certain ship types (e.g. highest emitters) or types of trade
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion
- b. Scope coverage:
  - Ships calling at ports of the European Union
  - Ships bunkering in ports of the European Union
  - Ships sailing in the territorial waters and Exclusive Economic Zones of EU Member States
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion

- 14. In your view, how should the environmental performance of sustainable alternative fuels for maritime transport be calculated?
  - On a "tank-to-wake" basis, accounting total emissions from combustion on board a ship and potential leakage
  - On a "well-to-wake" basis, taking into account emissions on board and potential leakage, but also emissions resulting from producing the fuel and making it available for use in ships
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion
- 15. In your view, what emissions should be considered in assessing the environmental performance of sustainable alternative fuels for maritime transport (including ships at berth)?
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and emissions of other greenhouse gases: methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions, nitroux oxide (N<sub>2O</sub>) emissions and relevant emissions of air pollutants
  - No opinion

If both greenhouse gases and air pollutants should be considered, do you have any views on how to weigh relative advantages and assess possible trade-offs?

400 character(s) maximum

Focus on reducing CO2-emissions and increasing the use of renewables. Air pollutants should be taken into account, but they should be regulated within their own legislative framework.

16. In accordance with data collected in 2018 under the EU Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system, emissions from ships at berth (in port) amounted to around 6% of the total CO2 emissions reported in MRV. In addition, ships at berth can become a significant source of air pollution, in particular for port cities. In your view and experience, how relevant is it to establish a regulatory framework specifically addressing emissions produced by ships at berth?

- Very relevant
- Relevant
- Somewhat relevant
- Less relevant
- Not relevant

	No	opinion
--	----	---------

- 17. Reducing emissions produced by ships at berth may require significant investments, for instance to install on-shore power connections. With this in mind, do you have any views on how these requirements for ships at berth should apply?
  - Addressing all ships at berth
  - Prioritising the ships and the ports already equipped with zero-emissions technologies (including on-shore power supply)
  - Prioritising the highest emitters (e.g. specific ship segments)
  - Taking action once critical infrastructure is made available in majority of EU ports
  - Other (please specify)
  - No opinion

In that case, ple	ease specify
-------------------	--------------

4	00 character(s) maximum			

#### Additional information

18. Are there other key aspects which you did not find reflected in the questions and you would like to comment upon?

### Please give details.

1500 character(s) maximum

Ship owners or managers must be able to easily trade sustainable fuels. Current regulations, both for guarantees of origin and sustainability certificates must be adapted to ensure the same easiness of trading as in the case of renewable electricity, for instance.

Please feel free to upload documents, such as additional evidence supporting your responses, such as a policy brief or a position paper here. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

The maximum file size is 1 MB
Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

19. Please provide references to any studies, reports or other documents that you think are relevant for this consultation, with links for online download where possible.

1500 character(s) maximum

Gas for Climate: 2020 Gas Decarbonisation Pathways study:

https://gasforclimate2050.eu/publications/

#### Contact

MOVE-D1-SECRETARIAT@ec.europa.eu